



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). i	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,798		11/10/2003	Douglas A. Gerecht	030286	4797
23696	7590	08/04/2005		EXAMINER	
Qualcom	m Incorpo	rated	TRINH, SONNY		
Patents De 5775 More	partment house Driv	/e	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA 92121-1714				2687	
				DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A - B					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/705,798	GERECHT, DOUGLAS A.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sonny TRINH	2687					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 No.	ovember 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1,2,8,10-18,23-26,31 and 33-36 is/are	Claim(s) <u>1,2,8,10-18,23-26,31 and 33-36</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 3-7,9,19-22,27-30 and 32 is/are object	Claim(s) <u>3-7,9,19-22,27-30 and 32</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/4/05.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2687

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-2, 17-18, 25-26, 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by MacDonald et al. ("MacDonald"; U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0152471 A1).

Regarding claim 1, with reference to figures 11, 13 and 15, MacDonald discloses a location tracing system for mobile telecommunication terminal and method comprising:

receiving information from a subscriber unit of a wireless communication system, the information being indicative of signals detected by the subscriber unit in the wireless communication system (see figures 11, 15, and [0017]);

dividing an area where the subscriber unit is suspected to be into a plurality of sectors (figure 13 and [0094]).

Art Unit: 2687

scoring the sectors based on the information, wherein a score for a respective sector indicates a likelihood that the subscriber unit is in the respective sector ([0017], claim 19).

Regarding **claim 2**, MacDonald further teaches that the scoring of the sectors are based on the information for each of a plurality of timing errors hypothesized for the subscriber unit ([0078]).

Regarding **claims 17-18**, these claims merely reflect the computer program necessary for performing the method step of claims 1-2 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding claims 25-26 and 35-36, these 4 claims merely reflect the system and apparatus claim as opposed to the method claim of claims 1-2 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons.

2. Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by de Verteuil ("Verteuil"; U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0148771 A1).

Regarding **claim 12**, with reference to figures 1-2, Verteuil discloses a method comprising:

receiving information from a subscriber unit of a wireless communication system, the information being indicative of signals detected by the subscriber unit in the wireless communication system (figure 1, mobile 102 is communicating with the base station 104);

Art Unit: 2687

identifying two or more probable locations of the subscriber unit based on the information [0046] – [0048]; and

sending position assistance information to the subscriber unit based on the two or more probable locations [0044].

Regarding claim 13, Verteuil further discloses that the position assistance information identifies a set of global positioning system (GPS) satellites [0044].

Regarding **claim 14**, with reference to figures 1-2, Verteuil discloses a method comprising:

detecting signals associated with base stations of a wireless communication system (figure 1, mobile 102 is communicating with the base station 104);

sending information from a subscriber unit, the information being indicative of the detected signals (figure 1, mobile 102 is communicating with the base station 104); and receiving position assistance information that identifies two or more probable

Regarding **claim 15**, Verteuil further discloses that the position assistance information identifies a set of global positioning system (GPS) satellites [0044]).

locations of the subscriber unit 9[0044], see also figure 2 and description).

Regarding **claim 16**, Verteuil further discloses the steps of identifying a position of the subscriber unit using signals from one or more satellites in the set of GPS satellites [0044].

Art Unit: 2687

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 8 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacDonald et al. ("MacDonald"; U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0152471 A1) in view of Yang et al. ("Yang"; U.S. Patent Number 6,785,321 B1).

Regarding claims 8 and 31, MacDonald discloses the invention but does not explicitly disclose that the information (of claim 1) includes phase offsets relative to system time, determined from the signals detected by the subscriber unit.

In an analogous art, Yang teaches an apparatus and method for estimating the time of arrival of a spread spectrum signal in a wireless communication system (abstract). Yang further teaches that phase offsets relative to system time, determined from the signals detected by the subscriber unit for determining the location of the mobile unit (figure 5, column 5 line 64 to column 6 line 22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to incorporate the phase offset timing, as taught by Yang, into the system of MacDonald in order to offload the system. By using the mobile station(s) to assist the base station(s), overhead processing can be reduced (enhanced system performance).

4. Claims 10-11, 23-24 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacDonald et al. ("MacDonald"; U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0152471 A1.

Regarding claims 10-11, 23-24 and 33-34, MacDonald discloses the invention but does not explicitly disclose the scoring of the sectors includes increasing a given score of a given sector when one or more detected signals correlate with expected signals of one or more base stations in proximity to the given sector or modifying a given score of a given sector when a repeater is associated with the given sector.

However, since MacDonald discloses the scoring of the sectors is based on the reported signal strength (see [0012] – [0013]), therefore it would have been obvious and well within the level of an ordinary skill person in the art to give the sector(s) more weight (scores) based on the proximity of the base station or repeater because of their strong signal. The motivation for given a higher scores to a sector when they are closer to the base station / repeater is because it's easier to determine the location of the wireless subscriber unit when the reported signals are strong.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-7, 9, 19-22, 27-30, 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2687

Regarding claims 3, 19 and 27, the applied references fail to disclose or render obvious the claimed limitations wherein the system and method further comprising the steps of identifying a subset of the sectors that have high scores; and sending position assistance information to the subscriber unit based on the subset of the sectors.

Regarding claims 5, 20 and 28, the applied references fail to disclose or render obvious the claimed limitations wherein the system and method further comprising the steps of identifying a subset of the sectors that have high scores; dividing each sector of the subset of sectors that have high scores into sub-sectors; and scoring the subsectors based on the information, wherein a score for a given sub-sector indicates a likelihood that the subscriber is in the given sub-sector.

Regarding claims 9 and 32, the applied references fail to disclose or render obvious the claimed limitations, the method steps further comprising: identifying a first subset of the sectors that have high scores; identifying a second subset of the sectors by removing one or more sectors from the first subset based on a determination that the one or more sectors correspond to a location of a repeater in the wireless communication system; and sending position assistance information to the subscriber unit based on the second subset of the sectors.

#### CONCLUSION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sonny TRINH whose telephone number is 571-272-7927. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,798 Page 8

Art Unit: 2687

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester KINCAID can be reached on 571-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7/29/05

SONNYTRINH
PRIMARY EXAMINAL